

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,502	. 01/	/06/2004	Ying-Chou Lee	LEEY3019/EM	LEEY3019/EM 3358	
23364	7590	10/05/2004		EXAMINER		
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC				ASHLEY, BOYER DOLINGER		
625 SLATER FOURTH FL				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDR		22314		3724		
				DATE MAILED: 10/05/200-	<b>1</b>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/17
	10/751,502	LEE, YING-CHOU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Boyer D. Ashley	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rition.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	١ <u></u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u			merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,7 and 8</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection			2 4 424/4\
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International.  * See the attached detailed Office action for	suments have been received. suments have been received in A ne priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	Stage
Attachment(e)			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) $\prod$ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Evans et al., U.S. Patent 6,032,566.

Evans et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including for example, a base (23) having walls on a periphery thereof (see Figure 2); a base plate (11) mounted to the walls of the base and having a plurality of through holes (circular punch holes as shown in Figures 3 an 7) and connection holes (27/28), a ridge (40) extending upward from a front side of the base plate; a plurality of punch units (21) each having a bottom plate (34) and a casing (32) which is connected to the bottom plate, a receiving slot (see Figure 4) defined between the bottom plate and the casing, the bottom plate having a first hole (see Figure 4) defined therethrough and the casing having a second hole (see Figure 4) that is located in alignment with the first hole, a punch member (P, see Figure 4) movably extending through the casing and a spring (see Figure 4) mounted to the punch member and biased between two opposite walls of the casing (see Figure 4), the punch member being located such that a lower end of the punch may extend through the second hole, the receiving slot, the first hole, and the though hole (see Figure 4); a bolt (26) extending through one of the connection holes

and connected to the bottom plate (see Figure 4) of the one of the punch units; and a handle (14) pivotably connected to two lugs (12) on two ends of the base plate and having a plurality of protrusions (13a/13b/13c) on an underside thereof such that the top ends of the punch members are pushed by the protrusions.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans et al., U.S. Patent 6,032,566, in view of Semerjian et al., U.S. Patent 4,449,436.

Evans et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including for example, a base (23) having walls on a periphery thereof (see Figure 2); a base plate (11) mounted to the walls of the base and having a plurality of through holes (circular punch holes as shown in Figures 3 an 7) and connection holes (27/28); a plurality of punch units (21) each having a bottom plate (34) and a casing (32) which is connected to the bottom plate, a receiving slot (see Figure 4) defined between the bottom plate and the casing, the bottom plate having a first hole (see Figure 4) defined therethrough and the casing having a second hole (see Figure 4) that is located in alignment with the first hole, a punch member (P, see Figure 4) movably extending through the casing and a spring (see Figure 4) mounted to the punch member and biased between two opposite walls of the casing (see Figure 4), the punch member being located such that

a lower end of the punch may extend through the second hole, the receiving slot, the first hole, and the though hole (see Figure 4); a bolt (26) extending through one of the connection holes and connected to the bottom plate (see Figure 4) of the one of the punch units; and a handle (14) pivotably connected to two lugs (12) on two ends of the base plate and having a plurality of protrusions (13a/13b/13c) on an underside thereof such that the top ends of the punch members are pushed by the protrusions.

Evans et al. lacks the two positioning blocks extending from the bottom plate and being engaged with the connection hole. Evans et al. instead provides a curved front edge on the bottom plate to match a curved ridge of the base plate in order to align the punch casings perpendicular with the base plate such that they are prevented from shifting.

Semerijan et al. discloses that it is old and well known in the art to use blocks (22) on punch casings that cooperate with slots/holes on the base plates for the purpose of facilitating alignment and preventing shifting of the punch while in place. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use blocks with the device of Evans et al. in addition to the curved front lip portion of Evans et al. in order positively prevent shifting while maintaining alignment of the punch.

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans et al., U.S. Patent 6,032,566, in view of Semerjian et al.

Evans et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the two positioning blocks extending from the bottom plate and being engaged with the

Application/Control Number: 10/751,502 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

connection hole. Evans et al. instead provides a curved front edge on the bottom plate to match a curved ridge of the base plate in order to align the punch casings perpendicular with the base plate such that they are prevented from shifting.

Semerjian et al. discloses that it is old and well known in the art to use blocks (22) on punch casings that cooperate with slots/holes on the base plates for the purpose of facilitating alignment and preventing shifting of the punch while in place. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use blocks with the device of Evans et al. in addition to the curved front lip portion of Evans et al. in order positively prevent shifting while maintaining alignment of the punch.

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-4 and 7-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boyer D. Ashley whose telephone number is 703-308-1845. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Boyer D. Ashley
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3724

BDA October 1, 2004